

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/729,523 12/04/2000		2/04/2000	Kinney Bacon	A-6237 4555		
5642	7590	12/05/2006 .	EXAMINER			
		NTA, INC. OPERTY DEPARTN	SHERKAT, AREZOO			
5030 SUGA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044				2131 .		

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/729,523	BACON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Arezoo Sherkat	2131	

Defense the Fillian of an August D. C.	03/125,525	BACON ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the (orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI ? 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply original ter than three months after the mailing da (b).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling	•						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,9-20,22,23 and 28-30.		il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	tion of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered Please see the attached.	but does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
•	•						
•							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant argues that Chaney does not disclose assigning to the source device of the at least one packetized data stream, a first unique designator (Remarks, page 4).

Examiner responds that Chaney discloses, the output signal of tuner 100, monitored by FEC 110, is processed by the transport unit 120. This signal fdepicted in Figure 3 comprises a stream of data organized in packets of data bytes, i.e., "packetized" data". Each packet is associated with a particular TYPE, or SUB-STREAM, of information in the tuned chennel's data stream (i.e., wherein a particular TYPE, or SUB-STREAM, of information in the tuned chennel's data stream corresponds to a unique designator)(col. 4, lines 50-67).

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100